SA



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/204,585	12/03/1998	MARC TREMBLAY	SP-3288-US	5684
24251 75	590 05/01/2002			
SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			ENG, DAVID Y	
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	(7
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2002	((

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			~	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/204,585	TREMBLAY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wil	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F	<u>ebruary 2002</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	=x parie Quayie, 1935 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers	_			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		oo Evaminar		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acception and acception to the Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oupprovod by the Examinor.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		1).	
_a)	visional application has be	een received.	,	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti Attachment(s)	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	99 120 and/or 121.		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 16	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
0, 23 montation bisolosule statement(s) (F10-1448) Paper NO(S) 10		•		

Application/Control Number: 09/204,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 29 has been cancelled. The active claims are 1-28.

Claims 1, 3-14 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yung (5,592,679) in view of Luan (5,911,149).

See Figure 1 and 2 in Yung. Yung discloses a system having a plurality of processors and a global register which is shared by the processors. Each of the processors further includes its own local register which can be accessed by the associated processor only. Yung does not disclose whether the global register and the local register sets are programmably configurable. However, Luan taught a computer system (see at least abstract, Brief Summary and Figure 2) having a programmably configurable memory for programmably configuring the memory into sets of local and shared (global) memory. Local memory is for the processor only and shared memory sets are for sharing amonr other functional units in the computer system. Since both references are directed toward a computer system having local and shared memories, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a programmably configurable memory as taught by Luan in Yung such that memory allocation can be programmably changed.

As with other dependent claims, given the configuration of the processing system and the register file, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use an address space dependent on the configuration for addressing the partitioned register file such that the

Application/Control Number: 09/204,585

Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

٠,٠٠٠

local register sets and the global regsiter sets can be accessed by the functional units because otherwise it would not work.

Claims 2 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yung (5,592,679) and Luan (5,911,149) further in view of Nashimoto (6,023,757).

Yung and luan disclose claim combination set forth above. Although Yung's processor is of multiple functional units type, it is not clear whether his instructions are VLIW. VLIW instruction is well known in the art. Nishimoto shows in Figure 1 a processing system having a local register (line 64, col 5), in Figure 2 a processing system having a global register (line 35, col 7). Both systems use VLIW instructions. From the teaching of Nishimoto, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use VLIW instructions such that more control signals can be generated.

In the communication filed on February 25, 2002, Applicants identify a respective excerpt from the Yung reference and the claim and conclude that the reference does not meet the claim limitation. Applicants fails to provide arguments as to why the invention as formulated by the Examiner based on the applied references is in error. It appears the Applicants are looking for the identical claim languages in the references. There is no arguments presented as to why the references can not be combined together as suggested by the Examiner.

With respect to Yung teaches away from the invention, Applicants did not claim a single centralized register file. Rather, the claims are directed to plurality of global and local registers.

Further, the Examiner relies on the other reference for the teaching of configurability.

· Art Unit: 2155

المواد والرساء

The remarks directed to "programmably configurable" are well taken. The Luan reference is cited for that limitation.

As to the dependent claims, Applicants request the Examiner to cite a reference to support the Examiner,s opinion. The evidence is on the claims. The claims recite various configurations and variou address schemes. Further, Applicants fail to present arguments as to why the invention as recited in the claims is patenatble over the prior art. In re Nielson, 816 F.2d 1567, 2 USPQ 1525 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The court held that simply pointing out what a claim requires with no attempt to point out how the claims patentably distinguish over the prior art does not amount to a separate argument for patentability.

As to the Nishimoto, the reference is cited for the teaching of VLIW. The Examiner relies on the other applied references for the other limitations in the claims.

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER